

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/700,973	11/04/2003	Vince Winstead	81044474 (202-0383)	4494
32997 7590 01/09/200 TUNG & ASSOCIATES			EXAMINER	
838 WEST LONG LAKE, SUITE 120	•	YUAN, DAH WEI D		
BLOOMFIELD HILLS, MI 48302		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER .	
			1745	
SHORTENED STATUTOR	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MO	NTHS	01/09/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Commence	10/700,973	WINSTEAD, VINCE .			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
·	Dah-Wei D. Yuan	1745			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).					
Status	·				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 26 No	ovember 2006.				
<u> </u>	action is non-final.				
· <u> </u>	application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 1-19 is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>7-19</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-6</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	election requirement				
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10) \boxtimes The drawing(s) filed on <u>04 November 2003</u> is/are: a) \boxtimes accepted or b) \square objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:					
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage					
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
		•			
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary (
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 	Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 11042003.	6) Other:				

Application/Control Number: 10/700,973 Page 1 of 5

Art Unit: 1745

METHOD OF OPERATING A HYBRID POWER SYSTEM WITHIN A STATE OF CHARGE WINDOW

Examiner: Yuan

S.N. 10/700,973

Art Unit: 1745

December 28, 2006

Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election with traverse of Group I, claims 1-6, in Paper filed November 24, 2006 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the grounds that claims 1,7,10,15 essentially recite the same method steps. This is not found persuasive because if it can be shown that the two or more inventions are in fact independent, applicant should be required to restrict the claim presented to but one of such independent inventions. See MPEP 806.04. In particular, claims 1-6 are drawn to a method of controlling the operation of hybrid power system for supplying power to a load, claims 7-9 are drawn to a method of maintaining the state of charge of the charge carrier within a preselected range, claims 10-14 are drawn to a method of maintaining the battery pack's state-of-charge within a preselected range, and claims 15-19 are drawn to reducing fuel consumption in a fuel cell hybrid electric vehicle. The four distinct inventions have different functions as stated above. The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL. As a result, claims 7-19 are withdrawn from consideration.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 2. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 3. Claim 1-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as

Art Unit: 1745

the invention. The recitations "determining the state of charge" and "setting the power output" in claim 1, the maximum voltage" and "the nominal state-of-charge " in claim 4, "the lumped system load power", "the maximum power" in claims 5 and 6 lack antecedent basis in those claims.

4. The term "nominal" in claims 4 and 6 is a relative term which renders the claim indefinite. The term "nominal" is not defined by the claim, the specification does not provide a standard for ascertaining the requisite degree, and one of ordinary skill in the art would not be reasonably apprised of the scope of the invention. See MPEP 2173.05(b).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 6. Claims 1-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Kopf et al. (US 6,744,237).

With respect to claim 1, Kopf et al. teach a method of controlling the operation of a hybrid power system comprising (A) determining the state-of-charge of the battery pack, (B) when the fuel cell supplies all of the power to the load as the state of charge of the energy storage

Art Unit: 1745

device falls below a second predetermined value (first value), and (C) when the fuel cell supplies at least a portion of the power to the load as the state of charge of the energy storage is less than or equal to the first predetermined value (second value). See Column 1, Lines 31-56.

With respect to claim 2, Kopf et al. teach device (26) is used to measure load currents. See Column 3, Lines 29-40.

With respect to claim 3, the steps (A) and (B) are repeated to maintain the state-of – charge of the battery as shown in Figure 2.

With respect to claim 4, Kopf et al. teach the first value is determined by the state-of – charge of the fuel cell. See Columns 4-6, Figure 2.

With respect to claims 5,6, Kopf et al. teach the second value is determined by the total power provided by the fuel cell and the energy storage device. See Columns 4-6, Figure 2.

7. Claims 1-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Iwasaki (US 2002/0162694).

With respect to claim 1, Iwasaki teaches a method of controlling the operation of a hybrid power system comprising (A) determining the state-of-charge of the battery (7) using a SOC sensor (13), (B) when the time average of the electrical load demand is less than the load corresponding to the maximum efficiency operating point of the fuel cell power system as the SOC of the battery is set to a first value, and (C) when the time average of electrical load demand exceeds the rated load of the fuel cell power system as the SOC of the battery is set to a second value. See Paragraphs 41-44.

With respect to claim 2, Iwasaki teaches the controller (10) is used to calculate the electrical load demand required to run the vehicle. See Abstract.

With respect to claim 3, the steps (A) and (B) are repeated to maintain the state-of-charge of the battery as shown in Figure 2.

With respect to claim 4, Iwasaki teaches teach the first value is determined by the state-of-charge of the fuel cell. See Paragraphs 42-52.

With respect to claims 5,6, Iwasaki teaches the second value is determined by the total power provided by the fuel cell and the energy storage device. See Paragraphs 42-52.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dah-Wei D. Yuan whose telephone number is (571) 272-1295. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday (8:00-5:00).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Patrick J. Ryan, can be reached on (571) 272-1292. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

Art Unit: 1745

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Dah-Wei D. Yuan December 28, 2006

> DAH-WEIYUAN PRIMARY EXAMINER